Summary of Changes to HUD's Environmental Review Regulations under Consideration

et	Summary of Changes to HUD's Environmental Revi	-
Element	Scope of Edit	Discussion
Adoption	In order to reduce repetitive and duplicative	OEE envisions an adoption taking the form of a short memo
	environmental reviews (ERs), new sections in Parts 50	signed by the approving official or certifying officer certifying
	and 58 would encourage HUD and responsible entities	that HUD or the RE has taken all of the steps required by
	(REs) to adopt existing ERs where another RE or federal	these sections.
	agency has already prepared an ER for the same	
	project.	OEE is seeking feedback on: (1) whether the requirements in
		these sections are appropriate and (2) any potential
		scenarios that are not adequately addressed by these
		sections.
Updating completed	New sections in Parts 50 and 58 s clarify how ERRs	OEE anticipates that these changes will reduce duplicative
environmental	should reflect supplemental assistance (e.g. a new	ERs by clarifying when an existing ER can be updated and
review records	funding source added to a project with a completed	encouraging continued reliance on completed ERs.
(ERRs)	ERR), when reevaluation is appropriate, and when ERRs	
	should be updated to reflect changes in conditions or	The requirements for updating a review will depend on
	proposals without triggering reevaluation. In both	context. In some cases, HUD/REs will need only to edit the
	Parts, these sections were moved so that they apply to	review in HEROS to include any new information.
	all levels of review.	Reevaluation or supplemental assistance may require a
		memo demonstrating compliance with these sections. These
	In Part 50, this section requires HUD to assume	sections will require additional guidance to clarify
	responsibility for completing one part 50 review to	requirements beyond the regulations.
	avoid the need for an additional part 58 review	requirements beyond the regulations.
	whenever possible. This would eliminate the need for	OEE is seeking feedback on: (1) whether the requirements in
	any RE to adopt HUD's Part 50 review. The Part 58	these sections are appropriate and (2) any potential
	requirements differ from Part 50 in a key way. If a new	scenarios that are not adequately addressed by these
	1	
	funding source is added to a proposal with a completed	sections.
	Part 58 review, but the new program would normally	
	require a review from HUD or a different RE, the	
	original RE cannot simply update the existing ERR to	
	reflect the new program. To avoid duplication,	
	HUD/the new RE should adopt the existing ERR.	
Expanding	HUD is proposing to expand its categorical exclusions in	Categorical exclusions designate certain classes of activities
Categorical	both Parts 50 and 58. The broader categorical	that are, as a group, excluded from NEPA, meaning that they
Exclusions in	exclusions are balanced to some extent by a broader	do not require an Environmental Assessment (EA). Activities
sections 50.20 and	definition of extraordinary circumstances.	may be categorically excluded from NEPA if HUD finds that
58.35(a) (CEST)	,	they will not individually or cumulatively have significant
30.93(0) (0131)	These sections contain a great deal of changes, as HUD	impacts on the human environment. Activities that are
	seeks to require fewer EAs for rehabilitation and small	categorically excluded under sections 50.20 and 58.35(a) are
	construction projects. OEE is also proposing a new	still subject to the related environmental laws and
	exclusion that would exclude certain activities from	authorities listed in 50.4.
	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.	ductionales instead in So. 1.
	Section 200 of the National Historie Freservation Act.	Many of the new categorical exclusions and extraordinary
		circumstances were drafted to make HUD's standards more
		consistent with other agencies' regulations. OEE is especially
		interested in feedback regarding the new exclusions and the
Chaman	UUD is also proposite a constitution to the	criteria for identifying categorically excluded projects.
Changes to	HUD is also proposing organizational changes and	The organizational changes in Part 58 would have no
Exemptions and	technical updates to the categorical exclusions and	substantive impact; the intent is to eliminate the category of
Categorical	exemptions listed in sections 50.19, 58.34, and	"exempt" activities, as they are treated identically to CENST
Exclusions in	58.35(b). In Part 58, these edits would consolidate	activities. Most of the other changes are technical in nature,
sections 50.19,	exempt and CENST activities into one group, listed in	designed to clarify points of confusion or update an outdated
58.34, and 58.35(b)	58.35(b).	reference or requirement.
(exempt/CENST)		

Proposed revisions would define choice limiting actions	HUD is pursuing multiple paths to improve and clarify its
(in Parts 50 and 58) and explicitly permit conditional contracts to acquire properties pending environmental review (in Part 58 only). The proposed revisions to Part 50 would modernize section 50.17 by eliminating outdated references and codifying requirements.	requirements regarding choice limiting actions and decision points. These regulatory reforms are supplemented by two notices, both currently in draft form. As these notices are refined and finalized, the regulatory drafts may be updated to reflect the notices.
HUD is proposing to allow responsible entities to publish public notices on the jursidiction's official government website in lieu of a newspaper.	This change would apply to both notices published pursuant to Part 58 (NOI-RROF, FONSI) and 8-Step Process notices published under Part 55. One aspect of this proposal that is still unclear is where 8-Step Processes completed for projects processed under Part 50 should be published online.
HUD is considering various minor clarifications and updates to the related federal environmental laws and authorities, including: • Adding an exclusion from Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for certain projects without potential to cause effects to historic properties • Excepting Disaster recovery projects from Part 51 Subpart D - Airport Hazards and updating terminology throughout the Subpart • Permitting projects processed under Part 58 to rely on private flood insurance meeting NFIP minimum requirements	OEE received many suggestions regarding the related laws and authorities listed in sections 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6. Please note that HUD's authority over most of these laws and authorities is minimal. While HUD has the ability to make changes to Part 51 and 55 (within the confines of various Executive Orders and other authorities), most of the other related environmental laws and authorities, such as the Endangered Species Act, Clean Air Act, and National Historic Preservation Act, are managed by other federal agencies. While HUD can work with these agencies to improve procedures, it cannot alter the regulatory requirements unilaterally except in limited cases.
HUD is proposing to codify and clarify existing requirements in a number of areas, including: • Updating Part 58 to reflect current procedural requirements for Environmental Impact Statements • Codifying analytical requirements for EAs in Part 50 • Clarifying timelines for tiered reviews in Part 58 • Defining who may act as a Certifying Officer in Part 58	
OEE is considering changing the roles and responsibilities in Noise processing in two ways: (1) By eliminating the special environmental clearance requirement in normally unacceptable zones (2) By allowing the program Assistant Secretary to issue EIS waivers.	The intention of these edits is that OEE would continue to review and comment on waivers and projects in unacceptable zones, but the ultimate decision would be left to the program. At this time, HUD does not intend to make any substantive changes to the Noise rule. However, OEE is open to changes to the management and operations aspects of this Subpart, while maintaing the rule's substantive
	contracts to acquire properties pending environmental review (in Part 58 only). The proposed revisions to Part 50 would modernize section 50.17 by eliminating outdated references and codifying requirements. HUD is proposing to allow responsible entities to publish public notices on the jursidiction's official government website in lieu of a newspaper. HUD is considering various minor clarifications and updates to the related federal environmental laws and authorities, including: • Adding an exclusion from Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for certain projects without potential to cause effects to historic properties • Excepting Disaster recovery projects from Part 51 Subpart D - Airport Hazards and updating terminology throughout the Subpart • Permitting projects processed under Part 58 to rely on private flood insurance meeting NFIP minimum requirements HUD is proposing to codify and clarify existing requirements in a number of areas, including: • Updating Part 58 to reflect current procedural requirements for Environmental Impact Statements • Codifying analytical requirements for EAs in Part 50 • Clarifying timelines for tiered reviews in Part 58 • Defining who may act as a Certifying Officer in Part 58 OEE is considering changing the roles and responsibilities in Noise processing in two ways: (1) By eliminating the special environmental clearance requirement in normally unacceptable zones (2) By allowing the program Assistant Secretary to issue